Regiochemical Control in the Reductive Cleavage of 2-Alkylated Oxetanes by Use of Trialkylaluminums. Tertiary Organolithiums with γ -Oxy Functionality

Boguslaw Mudryk and Theodore Cohen*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Received July 15, 1991

Summary: Whereas 2,2-dialkylated oxetanes are cleaved by lithium 4.4'-di-tert-butylbiphenylide to provide the primary organolithium-tertiary oxyanions, the same reaction in the presence of trialkylaluminums gives exclusively the 3-lithio-3,3-disubstituted propoxides, which are trapped by carbonyl compounds in moderate yields.

Epoxides undergo reductive cleavage by lithium 4,4'di-tert-butylbiphenylide (LDBB) in THF at -78 °C to yield β -lithioalkoxides.¹ Oxetanes require a temperature of 0 °C to undergo cleavage to γ -lithioalkoxides.² The latter have been shown to be exceptionally useful in the one-pot synthesis of spiroketals.³ In both cases, the 2alkylated heterocycles cleave so as to yield predominantly the less substituted organolithium, apparently because the greater stability of the most branched oxyanion outweighs the greater stability of the most substituted radical in the proximate ring-opened product.⁴ Tetrahydrofurans, which are reductively cleaved only slowly even at reflux and then in a synthetically useless mode, are cleaved readily at -78 °C in the presence of boron trifluoride; furthermore, the direction of cleavage is the opposite of those of epoxides and oxetanes in the absence of boron trifluoride (Scheme I, LA = Lewis acid, R^1 and/or R^2 = alkyl).⁵

It occurred to us that it may be possible to prepare the useful γ -lithioalkoxides in a regiocontrolled manner from 2-alkylated oxetanes by performing the reductive lithiation in the absence or presence of a strong Lewis acid. The potential products in the latter case, particularly tertiary organolithiums bearing the γ -oxy functionality, are not available by other methods.⁶ Since the radical anion reducing agents are prepared in THF, the Lewis acid should meet the requirements that it should selectively complex oxetanes in the presence of the THF solvent and/or its Lewis acid complex with oxetane should undergo C-O bond cleavage faster than its complex with THF. In addition, the Lewis acid must survive the very strong reducing conditions exerted by LDBB.

We now report that trialkylaluminums, when added dropwise to a solution of an oxetane (0.2 M) and LDBB (0.4 M) in THF at -78 °C effect the cleavage of the oxetane with very high chemoselectivity (>99:1 for 3,3-dimethyloxetane), yielding the corresponding lithium (3-lithiopropoxy)trialkylaluminate (1).⁷ The Me₂AlCl – LDBB system, although also highly chemoselective, presumably leads to an intermediate that undergoes rapid cyclization to a 5-membered ring ate complex 2, which was found to be completely unreactive in 1,2-additions to aldehydes and



Scheme I









1,4-addition to 2-cyclohexenone⁸ and could only be trapped with D_0O (Scheme II). As in the case with the ring-opened product from the THF·BF₃ complex,⁵ 1 must be used immediately since it gradually becomes inactive presumably due to organoaluminate formation.

The reductive lithiation of 2-octyloxetane in the LDBB-Me₃Al system did indeed produce predominantly the most substituted organolithium compound. The secondary and the primary organolithium species were generated in a ratio of 4:1 and yielded with aldehydes the corresponding diols as an inseparable diastereomeric mixture in $\sim 40\%$ yield along with the protonation products, 1- and 3-undecanol. This regioselectivity compares unfavorably with the 15:1 ratio found in the 2-methyltetrahydrofuran·BF₃-LDBB system.⁵

Gratifyingly, 2,2-dialkyloxetanes undergo reductive cleavage by LDBB in the presence of Me₃Al or Et₃Al at -78 °C almost exclusively (>97:3) to tertiary organolithiums. Since the reductive lithiation of 2,2-dialkyloxetanes by LDBB at 0 °C for 1-4 h (depending on substituents) results in predominant formation of the primary organolithium (the minor tertiary one does not survive at 0 °C), full regiochemical control can be attained, yielding, for example, in the case of 1-oxaspiro[3.5]nonane, either 3 or 4 (Scheme III).⁹

^{(1) (}a) Bartmann, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 653. (b)
Cohen, T.; Jeong, I.-H.; Mudryk, B.; Bhupathy, M.; Awad, M. M. A. J.
Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1528.
(2) Mudryk, B.; Cohen, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5657.
(3) Mudryk, B.; Shook, C.; Cohen, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112,

⁶³⁸⁹

⁽⁴⁾ Dorigo, A.; Houk, K.; Cohen, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8976.
(5) Mudryk, B.; Cohen, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1866.
(6) The generation of a 3-lithiobutoxide starting from methyl 3-chlorobutanoate has been reported: Barluenga, J.; Flörez, J.; Yus, M. Synthesis 1985, 846.

⁽⁷⁾ BF₃-assisted cleavage of oxetane (0.6 M) in THF at -78 °C by LDBB gave products derived from cleavage of both ethers in $\simeq 1:1$ ratio; ZnMe₂ and Ti(OPr)₄ underwent reduction by LDBB.

⁽⁸⁾ Ni(acac)₂ (5 mol %) was used as a catalyst; see: Ashby, E. C.; Heinsohn, G. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 3297.

⁽⁹⁾ All products were chromatographically pure and characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HRMS.

Table I. Reactions of 7 with Aldehydes and Ketones

$\xrightarrow{\text{Li}}_{7} \xrightarrow{\text{Li}^{+}}_{O\overline{A} \mid Et_3} \frac{1. \text{R}^1 \text{R}^2 \text{CO}}{2. \text{H}^+} \text{R}$	9-12 +	^ _{ОН}
	yiel	d of

R ¹ , R ²	diol, yield (%) ^a	3-methyl- butanol (%)	
<i>i</i> -Pr, H	9, 52 (59)	16 (15 ^b)	_
t-Bu, H	10, 56	150	
$-(CH_2)_5-$	11, (43)	40 ⁶	
Ph, H	12, 38°	20 ⁶	

^a Isolated yields; yields in parentheses refer to 9:1 Me₂O-THF system. ^bGC yields. ^cPhCH₂OH (30%) and CH₂=C(CH₃)CH₂C-H₂OH (31%) were also isolated.

2,2-Dimethyloxetane¹⁰ undergoes reductive lithiation by LDBB at 0 °C for 2 h to form lithium 4-lithio-2-methyl-2-butoxide (5), which was trapped with (E)-2-methyl-2butenal to give, after acidic treatment, the natural product, (\pm) -ocimenoyl oxide (6)¹¹ in 57% yield. The same oxetane, however, when subjected to reductive cleavage in the Et₃Al-LDBB system followed by treatment with this aldehyde gave the expected diol 8 at first in only 27% yield along with 3-methylbutanol (50%) resulting from the protonation of the tertiary organolithium 7. The yield of 8 and analogues in the latter process could be improved by changing both the solvent and the electrophile,¹² since both were determined to be proton sources. Dimethyl ether-THF (9:1) was found to be advantageous over pure THF particularly when easily enolizable carbonyl compounds were employed, as exemplified by the increase in the ratio of 8 to 3-methylbutanol from 0.5 to 1.5 and in the yield of 8 to 45% (Scheme IV).

Table I lists the yields of the diols 9–12 and 3-methylbutanol obtained by trapping the organolithium 7 with some carbonyl compounds.

The moderate yields of the diols 9-12 could result not only from the protonation of 7 but also from other side

(11) Strickler, H.; Kovacs, E. Helv. Chim. Acta 1966, 49, 2055.
(12) Since reaction of t-BuLi with (E)-2-methyl-2-butenal in THF gave a high yield of the addition product, we conclude that triethylaluminum, by complexing the aldehyde oxygen atom, significantly enhances the acidity of its γ -proton.



Scheme V

reactions such as the reduction of the carbonyl compound by 7 to an alcohol which effectively competes with the nucleophilic attack at the carbonyl group in the case of benzaldehyde. The delivery of the β -hydride to the carbonyl carbon has previously been observed for lithium 2-lithioethoxide and is well known for Grignard reagents.^{1b}

2-Allyl-2-ethyloxetane¹³ in the LDBB-R₃Al system (R = Et or n-Pr, -70 °C/Me₂O-THF 9:1) gave an interesting result. It instantaneously underwent the expected exclusive cleavage of the most substituted C-O bond. However, the diol 14 and lactone 15 that were generated by immediate quenching of the intermediate with isobutyraldehyde and CO_2 , respectively, followed by the acidic workup, did not result from the reaction of these electrophiles with the initially formed tertiary organolithium 13a but rather with the rearranged primary organolithium 13b (Scheme V). All reported cases of homoallylic rearrangements of organolithiums¹⁴ require many hours at room temperature or higher.¹⁵ A systematic study of this unusual rate acceleration is in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support.

Supplementary Material Available: Sample procedures for the reductive cleavages of 2,2-disubstituted oxetanes in the LDBB-R₃Al system and the spectral data of the products (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(15) Maercker, A.; Weber, K. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1972, 756, 43.

Carboalumination/Transmetalation/Conjugate Addition: A New Catalytic Cu(I)-Induced **Process for the 1,4-Delivery of Vinylic Groups to Enones**

Bruce H. Lipshutz* and Stuart H. Dimock

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received June 25, 1991

Summary: Exposure of vinylalanes, derived from carbometalations of 1-alkynes, to catalytic amounts of Cu-CN-2LiCl in the presence of an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl group affords products of vinylic ligand transfer in a 1,4manner.

Transmetalations of a variety of organometallic intermediates, mediated by preformed higher order cyanocuprates, have only recently begun to expand the scope of the intrinsic chemistry of various metals.¹ Thus, vinylic stannanes,² tellurides,³ and zirconates⁴ readily exchange

0022-3263/91/1956-5761\$02.50/0 © 1991 American Chemical Society

⁽¹⁰⁾ Prepared in 65% yield by sequential treatment of 2-chloro-propionyl chloride with MeMgBr (2 equiv in ether) and NaH in DMSO, condensing the product in a cold trap in vacuo.

⁽¹³⁾ Prepared in 72% overall yield by addition of allylmagnesium bromide to commercial 1-chloro-3-pentanone followed by cyclization of the tertiary alcohol in the NaH/Et₂O-DMSO 95:5 system.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Review: Grovenstein, E., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 313-332. Recent examples: Grovenstein, E., Jr.; Black, K. W.; Goel, S. C.; Hughes, R. L.; Northrop, J. H.; Streeter, D. L.; VanDerveer, D. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1671.

For some examples, see: Lipshutz, B. H. Synlett 1990, 119.
 Behling, J. R.; Babiak, K. A.; Ng, J. S.; Campbell, A. L.; Moretti, R.; Koerner, M.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2641.

 ⁽³⁾ Comasseto, J. V., Berriel, J. N. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 1681.
 (4) Lipshutz, B. H.; Ellsworth, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7440.
 Babiak, K. A.; Behling, J. R.; Dygos, J. H.; McLaughlin, K. T.; Ng, J. S.; Kalish, V. J.; Kramer, S. W.; Shone, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7440. 7441